

APPLICATION NOTE

Parallel line analysis and relative potency in SoftMax Pro GxP and Standard Software

Introduction

In laboratories operating under GMP (good manufacturing practice) and GLP (good laboratory practice) regulations, biological assays are frequently analyzed with the help of parallel line analysis (PLA). PLA is commonly used to compare dose-response curves where there is no direct measurement of a product, but rather an effect is measured (Figure 1). Parallelism methods allow the user to establish if the biological response to two substances is similar or if two biological environments give similar dose-response curves to the same substances. Testing for parallelism is a prerequisite to calculate the relative potency of a compound and plays an important role in many pharmaceutical drug development applications such as drug comparison, analyte confirmation, crossreactivity, interfering substances, matrix compensation, concentration estimation, and inhibitory studies.

Two curves are defined to be parallel when one function is obtained from the other by a scaling factor either to the right or to the left on the x-axis, f(x) = f(rx), where x is the dose and r is the scaling factor, or relative potency.¹ The relative potency is generally set to one for the reference curve (known agent) and the scaling factor used to transform the reference curve into the test curve (unknown agent) is the relative potency of the unknown agent. This methodology works well for linear regression curve fits where the slope is unchanged across the concentration range (Figure 2). However with non-linear regression curve fits, such as the 4-parameter and 5-parameter logistics, the sigmoidal dose-response curve has a variable slope over the entire concentration range (Figure 1).

Benefits

Apply a constrained global fit with the click of a button

SpectraMax° i3:

- View automatically-calculated relative potency, curve fit parameter, and confidence interval values
- Test for parallelism using pre-written parallel line
 analysis protocols
- Perform parallel line analysis and calculate relative potency using software features that support FDA 21 CFR Part 11 and EudraLex Annex 11 data integrity regulations

Methods testing parallelism can be divided into two categories depending on how the parallelism hypothesis is tested: response comparison tests and parameter comparison tests.¹ This application note explains both methods and outlines how to use them in SoftMax[®] Pro GxP and Standard Software to test for parallelism. A protocol has been implemented with the F-test probability using the F-test^{1,2} and the chi-squared probability with the chi-squared test³. Furthermore, a parameter comparison method has also been developed using Fieller's theorem. This protocol is called Parallelism Test and is located in the SoftMax Pro Protocol Library in the Data Analysis subfolder. All of these methods can be used for linear and non-linear regression curves.

Testing for parallelism

Response comparison test

Biological systems often do not behave as expected and generally add noise and variation to the data. Therefore, choosing the correct curve fit model and applying a weighting factor, if necessary, that can accommodate these variations is the first important step to consider before parallelism analysis. If an inappropriate curve fit model is selected, it could introduce bias into the parallelism metrics and may lead you to the wrong conclusion.

Calculating the relative potency of non-parallel curves is difficult due to the rare occurrence of curve fits that are perfectly parallel for assay data, especially for non-linear regressions. With the response comparison method, the tested curves are simultaneously fit into a constrained model, where the curves are forced to be parallel, and an independent model, where the curves are independently fitted. Statistical metrics are then used to compare differences in the goodness of fit between the constrained model and the independent model, which might be attributed to non-parallelism.

When fit to the constrained model, parameters describing the curves are identical for all curves except for the parameter describing the X-value. For a linear curve, the X-value is the intercept and for a non-linear curve, the X-value is the midpoint between the upper and the lower asymptotes, which is the EC_{50} . SoftMax Pro Software includes tools to determine the relative potency of linear fits as well as evaluate non-linear curves using both the constrained or global fit model and the independent model for relative potency estimation.

Testing the null hypothesis

With the response comparison method, the calculated parallelism metrics are often a function of the residualsum of squared-errors (RSSE) and determine how well the constrained model fits the data. One specific method has been developed and uses the Extra-Sum-of-Square analysis^{1,2}. This statistical regression technique is a form of analysis of variance (ANOVA) where the null hypothesis is that the constrained model is correct, or that the curves are parallel. The null hypothesis can be tested using various statistical techniques including the F-test probability with the F-test^{1,2} or the chi-squared probability with the chi-squared test³. For both methods, the probability is reported as a number between zero and one. As the probability becomes closer to one, it is more likely that the curves are parallel. It is important to note the deficiencies of the F-test. The F-test can result in a false positive for well-fitting independent curves or a false negative for independent curves with a poor fitting. These two statistical methods have also been included in SoftMax Pro Software. Values of the test and the probabilities can be obtained easily with the following formulas:

- ChiSquaredPLA (PlotName@GraphName): Returns the value of the chi-squared statistic for a reduced curve fit.
- ChiProbabilityPLA (PlotName@GraphSection): Returns the chi-squared probability distribution value for a reduced curve fit.
- FStatPLA(PlotName@GraphSection): Returns the value of the F-test statistic for a reduced curve fit.
- FProbPLA(PlotName@GraphSection): Returns the value of the F-test probability for a reduced curve fit.



Figure 1. Parallel line analysis of dose response data sets with a constrained global 4-parameter curve fit.

Note: All of the above formulas can be used only when the Global Fit (PLA) option is enabled in the Curve Fit Settings dialog. PlotName@GraphName is the full name of the plot, including the name of the graph. For example, Plot#1@Graph#1 or Std@Standardcurve. The designation of a specific plot is arbitrary since the chi-squared value is calculated from all plots in the designated graph.

A protocol titled "Parallel Line Analysis Using F-test and Chi-squared Test" has been developed to test for parallelism according to these two statistical testing methods. Once the data is acquired or imported into the protocol, the calculations will occur automatically and assess whether or not the null hypothesis, that the curves can be considered parallel, is true.

In this protocol, the probability results for the F-test and the chi-squared test must be above 0.05 for the curves to be considered parallel. Roughly speaking, with this setting, there is 95% confidence that the null hypothesis is true. The confidence level can be adjusted as needed to an acceptable level of non-parallelism for the assay performed.

Noise and weighting

Noise is defined as the random variability of measured response. It is an important factor to consider when parallelism is assessed as it affects the ability to detect non-parallelism. At a high noise level, parallelism metrics can no longer measure non-parallelism as it is less than the amount of noise. The F-test and the chi-squared metrics handle the expected noise levels differently in the calculation of their probability. While the F-test probability is unaffected by this factor, the chi-squared probability is highly dependent on noise levels and requires that data variances are correctly estimated. It is therefore necessary to have inverse variance weighting when the chi-squared method is used.

As discussed in the application note "Selecting the best weighting factor in SoftMax Pro GxP and Standard Software", bioassays tend to have a much larger variance in the upper part of the curve. With unweighted regression, the parallelism results can be dominated by the data points from the top of the curve, and information from the lower part of the response curve does not contribute much.

In the software protocol, the weighting factor used is the inverse of the variance, but this can be adjusted to a more suitable weighting factor if needed. In addition, there is an option to specify that the weights are to be treated as inverse variances (Figure 4G). The chi-squared profile method for parameter confidence intervals (Figure 4H) is only available when the 'Weights are Inverse Variances' box is checked. An optimal weighting factor will ensure that the results are not dominated by the most variable data points.



Curve Fit : Semi-Log y = A + B * Log(x)

PI	ot Name	Sources	Estimated Rel. Pot.	Std. Error	Confidence Interval	Independence	
•	Reference	(Standards: Values vs Conc)	1.000	0.000	[1.000, 1.000]		
+	Test	(Sample: Values vs Conc)	10.01	1.040	[7.765, 12.26]		



How to apply PLA in SoftMax Pro GxP Software

In the software, PLA is available for all global curve fits except point-to-point, log-logit, and cubic spline. In a graph section, all the plots will have the same curve fit functions applied. PLA can be implemented as shown in Figure 4.

Parameter comparison method

Unlike the response comparison methods that directly assess differences in the dose-response curve, the parameter comparison methods individually compare the parameters of unconstrained curves to an approximate confidence region. The parameter pairs must fit within this defined confidence interval according to a specified level of confidence. This type of evaluation is called equivalence testing and tests for a degree of parallelism less than a specified threshold. The slope ratio method used by the European Pharmacopoeia is one example of equivalence testing.

Fieller's theorem

Fieller's theorem uses statistics to calculate the confidence interval for the ratio of two parameters.⁴ The t_{inv} function is used to calculate the estimated ratio and therefore follows a Student's t distribution with degrees of freedom for which the probability is p. Statistical formulas in SoftMax Pro Software allow you to calculate the confidence interval for the ratio of a curve fit parameter between two curves such as reference and test curves. A protocol (Figure 5) has been developed incorporating these calculations with a probability set to 0.1 (90% confidence), which may be adjusted as needed. In order to determine whether the reference and the test curves are considered parallel, the calculated confidence interval is compared to a fixed confidence interval defined by a certain confidence level. In the protocol, a 90% confidence level is applied; therefore the calculated confidence interval should be within 0.9 and 1.1 for the curve to be considered parallel.



Curve Fit : 4-Parameter $y = D + \frac{A - D}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{C}\right)^{\overline{D}}}$

Plo	ot Name	Sources	Estimated Rel. Pot.	Std. Error	Confidence Interval	Independence	EC50
•	Reference	(Standards: Values vs Conc)	1.000	0.000	[1.000, 1.000]	ETTERT	39.46
•	Test	(Sample: Values vs Conc)	9.948	0.336	[9.245, 10.65]		3.966

Weighting: Weights, Weights@Standards

Global (PLA) Statistics

 $R^2 = 0.999$

Parameter	Estimated Value	Std. Error	Confidence Interval	Independence	
A	0.029	0.017	[-0.008, 0.065]		
В	1.175	0.037	[1.097, 1.253]		
с	39.46	1.316	[36.70, 42.21]		
D	3.067	0.030	[3.005, 3.129]		

Figure 3. Parallel line model for non-linear regression. The relative potency is determined in the linear region of the curve where the response changes relative to the concentration at 50% effective dose or $EC_{5\sigma}$ The curves tested are fitted to the constrained model. The parameters describing the curves are identical for all curves except for the X-value in the 4-parameter curve fit equation.

Home Protocols View Instrument Read Instrument Instrument	Operations Help Image: New Experiment Image: New Piter Image: New Note Image: New Gimes Image: New Note Image: New Note Image: New Note Image: New Note </th <th>ate aph ivette Set Graph Graph Type</th> <th>Curve Fit Settings aph Tools</th>	ate aph ivette Set Graph Graph Type	Curve Fit Settings aph Tools
Expt1 PLA Gra	aph 📝 🛃	Curve Fit 4-Parameter	• 1
C Curve Fit Settings Curve Fit Settings Curve Fit Weighting: Statistics Delegendent Fits Parallel Line Analysis 6 4Parameter Parameter P	Plot Reference Plot Reference Confidence Interval Confidence Confidence Interval Confide	D Curve Fit Settings Curve Fit Weighting: Statistics Curve Fit Weighting: Statistics Parallel Line Analysis Fit Remember Parameter Fits Curve Fit Statistics Parallel Line Analysis Fit Remember Parameter Fits Curve Fit Statistics Fit Remember Parameter Fits Curve Fit Statistics Curve Fit Statistics Parameter Fits Curve Fit Statistics Curve Fit Statistics Curve Fits Curve Fit Statistics Parameter Fits Curve Fits C	Iot Reference
Curve Fit Settings Curve Fit Settings Curve Fit Weighting: Statistics Curve Fit Weighting: Statistics Curve Fit Weighting: Statistics Curve Fit Weighting: Statistics Parallel Line Analysis & difference Parallel Line Analysis & difference Parallel Line Analysis & difference Parallel Line Analysis difference A None - (102) B None - (102) C None C (102)	Pice Reference	F Curve Fit Settings Curve Fit Settings Curve Fit Settings Curve Fit Meighting: Statistics Curve Fit Weighting: Statistics Curve Fit: @ Global Fit (PLA) Parallel Line Analysis @#Branneter Parameter Formula Role Formula Role Formula Reference P Reference Formula Reference Formula Reference Formula Reference Formula Reference Formula Reference Formula Curve Fit: Settings Curve Fit: S	lot Reference
realine polety racion. Choose we refer that poly realine polety raci	OX Cancel	Peaker poercy records choose one reference poor, realize poercy record	
G Curve Fit Settings Curve Fit Weighting Teatistics Weighting Reference Apply Weighting	22	H Curve Fit Settings Curve Fit Weighting Statistics Statistics Confidence Interval Method Aggregating Approximation Confidence Level 95 % @ Calculate Parameter Dependencies	•
For each plot, specify whether the data should be weighted and, if so, th evaluate to an array of numbers.	e desired weighting formula, which must	Oxosse the method by which confidence intervals are calculated, and a de the confidence level, the wider the resulting interval. Profile methods require asymptotic approximation, but are generally more accurate.	sired level of confidence. The gre ire more computation than the

Figure 4. How to apply PLA in SoftMax Pro GxP and Standard Software and estimate relative potency. Select a graph section with multiple plots. Click Curve Fit in the Graph Tools section on the Home tab in the ribbon (A) or in the toolbar at the top of the graph section (B). (C) In the Curve Fit Settings dialog, select Global Fit (PLA). (D) Select any curve fit option except point-to-point, log-logit, or cubic spline from the drop down list. (E) Select a plot for the Reference Plot list. (F) If applicable, select the curve fit parameters and the Relative Potency Confidence Intervals. (G) If applicable, click the Weighting tab. See Application note "Selecting the best weighting factor in SoftMax Pro GxP and Standard Software". You may also directly select the inverse of the variance weighting factor. (H) If applicable, click the Statistics tab. (I) When all curve fit options have been selected, click OK. The curves tested are fitted to the constrained model. The parameters describing the curves are identical for all curves except for the parameter describing the X-value as shown in Figure 3. For non-linear functions, the minimum and maximum responses (lower and upper asymptote, respectively) are also constrained to be the same for all curves.

For linear regression curves, this test is applied to the slope values of the reference and the test curves, which are described by the B parameters in SoftMax Pro Software. However for non-linear regression curves, parameters describing the upper asymptote and the slope are tested. The lower asymptote is not tested as this is a mathematical limitation of the Fieller's theorem. At a lower concentration, the variance of the parameter is too high and generates an intermediate calculation containing imaginary numbers which result in a final calculated confidence interval that is either incorrect or cannot be calculated. This issue has been addressed by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Center for Veterinary Biologics with a recommendation to fix the lower asymptote to zero and use the slope and the upper asymptote for the tests⁵. Similarly, the Parallelism Test protocol included in SoftMax Pro Software automatically sets the lower asymptote to zero and tests the slope (Parameter B) with either Parameter A or Parameter D as the upper asymptote to determine if the reference and the test curves can be considered parallel (Figure 5).

T test:

 90% confidence level is applied for the ratios of B, and D parameters as follows using Fieller's theorem: Ival = Lower limit for the T test 0.900 uval = upper limit for the T test 1.100 alpha = 0.100

PLA RESULTS The curves can be considered PARALLEL

SUPPORTING INFORMATION dfTotal = total degrees of freedom in the PLA graph: 89 chiSodTotal = 0.226 sigmaSod = 0.003 t = 1.662 B parameter results: BR = Parm B result: Parallel gB = 0.002288629 rBCILower = lower confidence interval 0.928 Bref = 1.134 vBref = 0.250 rBC/Upper = upper confidence interval 1.047 Btest = 1.151 vBtest = 0.432 hwB = 0.060 rB = ratio Slope: 0.985 D parameter results: DR = Parm D result: Parallel gD = 0.000106797 rDClLower = lower confidence interval 0.938 Dref = 3.152 vDref = 0.278 Dtest = 3.159 vDtest = 0.152 hwD = 0.017 rDC/Upper = upper confidence interval 1.015 rD = ratio Slope: 0.998

Figure 5. Response comparison method in SoftMax Pro Software to assess parallelism. The confidence level and the probability are set to 90 % and 0.1 respectively, but can be adjusted as needed. Once the lower (rBCILower and rDCILower) and upper (rBCIUpper and rDCIUpper) values of the confidence interval for the parameter ratio have been calculated, they are compared to a defined confidence interval (Ival and uval). If the calculated confidence interval values are within that defined confidence interval, then the reference and the test curves can be considered parallel for that parameter.

Contact Us

Phone: +1.800.635.5577 Web: www.moleculardevices Email: info@moldev.com Check our website for a current listing of worldwide distributors.

Regional Offices

	USA and Canada	+1.800.635.5577	Taiwan/Hong Kong	+886.2.2656.7585
.com	United Kingdom	+44.118.944.8000	Japan	+81.3.6362.9109
	Europe*	00800.665.32860	South Korea	+82.2.3471.9531
	China	+86.4008203586	India	+91.73.8661.1198

*Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom

The trademarks used herein are the property of Molecular Devices, LLC or their respective owners. Specifications subject to change without notice. Patents: www.moleculardevices.com/productpatents FOR RESEARCH USE ONLY. NOT FOR USE IN DIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURES.

Conclusion

Many biological assays require parallelism determination between pairs of dose-response curves. This application note describes response comparison methods, such as the F-test and chi-squared test, and parameter comparison methods, illustrated with Fieller's theorem. SoftMax Pro Software enables you to choose between a constrained or an unconstrained curve fit model and provides advanced statistical formulas for analysis. The software also includes a pre-written protocol developed with different sections for each test so you may choose the appropriate method to easily evaluate parallelism for some or all of the parameters describing two curves.

Together with SoftMax Pro GxP Software's data integrity features, assessing parallelism and calculating relative potency can be performed in FDA 21 CFR Part 11 and EudraLex Annex 11 compliant laboratories.

References

- Gottschalk, P.D. and Dunn, J.R. 2005. Measuring parallelism, linearity, and relative potency in bioassay and immunoassay data. *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics* 15(3): 437-463.
- 2. Bates D. M. and Watts D. G. 1988. *NonLinear Regression Analysis and its Applications*. New York, Wiley.
- 3. Draper, N. R. and Smith H. 1998. *Applied Regression Analysis*. 3rd Ed. New York, Wiley.
- 4. Buonaccorsi, J. P. 2005. *Fieller's Theorem*. In: Armitage, P., Colton, T., editors. Encyclopedia of Biostatistics. 2. Vol. 3. New York, Wiley.
- 5. United States Department of Agriculture Center for Veterinary Biologics Standard Operating Policy/Procedure. 2015. *Using Software to Estimate Relative Potency*. USDA Publication No. CVBSOP0102.03. Ames, IA.

©2022 Molecular Devices, LLC 6/22 2013C Printed in USA